







	www.apf.lt
Aktyviy	
AKLYVIŲ	
piliečių fondas	

Open Lithuania foundation, OSFL projects and Geri norai LT on behalf of a consortium of the Active Citizens Fund announces an open call for projects focused on

VULNERABLE GROUPS EMPOWERED

Outcome 4

Call 1

EEA Grants 2014 - 2021

CONCEPT NOTES. Deadline for submission: 28th February 2020, 23:59 Lithuanian time

FULL PROJECT PROPOSALS. Indicative deadline for submission: 22nd June 2020, 23:59 Lithuanian time

Applicants can contact Fund Operator by tel. +370 5 2685511 or e-mail info@apf.lt

Outcome 4

Who can apply

Lithuanian NGOs

established as the legal entities for at least 1 year

Partners (not obligatory)

Any public or private entity (commercial or non-commercial) or NGO International organization Informal group

- in Lithuania or other Beneficiary country
- > in Iceland, Liechtenshtein or Norway
-) in a country outside the EEA that has a common border with Lithuania

Funds €1,575,000

Grant size and project duration

Small (€5,000 – 15,000; 6-18 months) Medium (€15,001 – 80,000; 6-18 months) Large (€80,001 – 150,000; 24-36 months)

Vulnerable groups empowered

Capacity building

10 - 15% of each project budget must be allocated to capacity building activities aimed at increasing the capacity and organizational sustainability of the project promoter organization(s) based on individual assessments of organisational capacity

Outputs to be reached

- > Members of vulnerable groups trained or involved in advocating for their needs/the needs of their community
- New or improved services addressing the needs of vulnerable groups promoted
- > CSOs promote inclusion and awareness of vulnerable groups

What can be done

- > Training vulnerable individuals to advocate for their needs and promote their social inclusion
- Support for vulnerable individuals to get involved in advocating for their rights
- > Support for vulnerable groups to promote access to information about their rights
- Educational activities to promote inclusion and equal opportunities
- Development of new or improved methods/services to address needs of vulnerable groups
- Social innovations and development of social entrepreneurship
- Initiatives for community development and activation
- Platforms for minority/majority interactions
- Developing and disseminating digital tools and resources >
- etc >

Active citizens fund

ACTIVE CITIZENS FUND

The general objectives of the Active Citizens Fund (hereinafter ACF) is to strengthen civil society and active citizenship and empower vulnerable groups.

The ACF program in Lithuania aims to achieve the following outcomes:

- Outcome 1. Increased citizen participation in civic activities
- Outcome 2. Strengthened civil society watchdog and advocacy role
- Outcome 3. Increased support for human rights
- Outcome 4. Vulnerable groups empowered
- Outcome 5. Enhanced capacity and sustainability of civil society

The ACF program also aims at **strengthening of bilateral cooperation** between civil society organizations from Lithuania and entities from the Donor states as well as **promoting regional exchange and networking**, with a view of sharing knowledge and best practice across civil society.

The ACF in Lithuania is part of the financial contribution of **Iceland**, **Liechtenstein** and **Norway** to reduce economic and social disparities and strengthen bilateral relations with 15 EU member states.

1. FOCUS OF THE OUTCOME 4 - VULNERABLE GROUPS EMPOWERED

This outcome aims at promoting inclusion, empowering vulnerable groups and CSOs representing them in advocating for their interests, introducing new or improved services addressing needs of vulnerable people.

The program will support projects that seek to help empower vulnerable groups and focus on their participation in the decision-making processes and their access to information, the labour market, education and culture, etc. Specific attention will be given to those initiatives that aim to train vulnerable individuals to advocate for themselves and their communities' needs, this includes also capacity building in participatory advocacy methods and awareness raising campaigns that aim to a better understanding of vulnerable groups, their needs and encourage their acceptance; to introduce new or improved services addressing the needs of vulnerable groups.

Vulnerable groups usually include groups that experience a higher risk of poverty and social exclusion than the general population, e.g., ethnic minorities, migrants, disabled people, the homeless, those struggling with substance abuse, isolated elderly people and children, etc.

A list of activities supported under the outcome 4 "Vulnerable groups empowered" (list is indicative and non-exhaustive):

- Training vulnerable individuals to advocate for their needs and promote their social inclusion;
- > Support for vulnerable groups to promote access to information about their rights and other relevant issues;
- > Educational activities to promote inclusion and equal opportunities;
- > Development of new or improved methods or services to address the needs of vulnerable groups;
- Support for vulnerable individuals to get involved in advocating for their rights;

- Introducing social innovations and development of social entrepreneurship;
- Initiatives for community development and activation;
- Intercultural dialogue, including platforms for minority/majority interactions;
- > Inter-generational cooperation.

In addition to that, all types of activities shall contribute to supporting and enabling vulnerable individuals to participate in economic and social life more active and advocate for their rights.

Provision of welfare and basic services shall only be supported as part of actions addressing awareness-raising, advocacy, empowerment, and reform initiatives.

The ACF program is based on the principles of results-based management, therefore each project submitted for this call must contribute to the Outcome 4 and at least one Outcome's 4 indicator, correspond to at least one pre-defined output and measure the achievement with the at least one indicator of the chosen output:

Outcome 4	Indicators
	Number of vulnerable individuals reached by empowerment measures
Vulnerable groups are empowered	Number of beneficiaries of services provided or improved
-	Share of target group disapproving of public statements that express negative views or hatred against vulnerable groups
Outputs	Indicators
Members of vulnerable groups trained or involved in advocating for their needs/the needs of their community	Number of vulnerable individuals trained in advocacy or involved in advocating for their needs/the needs of their community
New or improved services addressing the needs of vulnerable groups promoted	Number of new or improved services addressing the needs of vulnerable groups ¹
CSOs promote inclusion and awareness of vulnerable groups	Number of supported CSOs working to promote inclusion and awareness of vulnerable groups
	Number of professional staff trained in working with vulnerable groups

Projects contributing to the Outcome 4, must also include predefined outcome indicator(s), output(s) and their pre-defined indicator(s) from Outcome 5 "Enhanced capacity and sustainability of civil society". For further information regarding Outcome 5 results framework, please consult the Guidelines for Applicants.

Capacity building

Projects under the Outcome 4 must allocate minimum 10% and a maximum of 15% of the project budget to capacity building activities aimed at increasing the capacity and organizational sustainability of the project promoter organization(s) based on individual assessments of organisational capacity. The activities can include capacity building needs analysis, inquiry-based learning activities, developing of transparent and accountable governance procedures, effective management procedures, communication, diversifying funding sources, engaging constituencies, etc.

→ 4 — Active citizens fund

¹ New method in the context of the ACF Programme refers to a new tool of assistance or service introduced to a specific community, where it did not exist before.

CALL PRIORITIES

Implementing the ACF program's objectives and responding to the country-specific and thematic concerns, the following priorities for this call are established in Lithuania:

Thematic priorities

- **Better outreach to under-served geographic areas.** Applicants are encouraged to ensure outreach to geographic areas outside Vilnius city.
- **Better outreach to under-served target groups.** Applicants are encouraged to address the needs of target groups that are under-served, in particularly, victims of gender-based violence.
- **Detter inter-cultural integration with in particular emphasis on national minorities.** Applicants are encouraged to promote inter-cultural integration developing partnerships that involve national minorities' NGOs (in role of applicant or project partner).
- **Better youth inclusion.** Applicants are encouraged to promote youth inclusion and youth participation through involving young people as a target group in their projects and youth organizations as partners in case if projects are targeted at youth.

Regional priorities

- Partnerships including NGOs registered and operating in areas other than Vilnius city shall be encouraged.
- **NGOs from geographic areas, other than Vilnius city,** shall be encouraged to submit their project proposals.

Bilateral partnership priority

Aiming to enhance the relations between Lithuanian NGOs and entities in the Donor states (Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway), the partnerships of high quality and mutual benefit between diverse Lithuanian NGOs and entities in the Donor states are encouraged. Further information about possibilities for bilateral cooperation and partners' database can be found on the ACF in Lithuania website in the Bilateral Cooperation section: https://apf.lt/en/dvisalis-bendradarbiavimas/.

2. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS AND PARTNERS

Eligible applicants are NGOs established as the legal entities in Lithuania for at least one year. The eligible applicants must also fulfill the requirements set in the Law on Development of NGO.²

Political parties, religious institutions, social partners or profit-distributing cooperatives are not considered as NGOs and therefore are not eligible applicants.

→ 5 — Active citizens fund

² https://e-seimas.lrs.lt/portal/legalAct/lt/TAD/d415a500124111e48595a3375cdcc8a3?jfwid=zaydj67xa

³ Social partners are defined as trade unions and employers' organizations, as defined by the EU.

Faith-based organizations are eligible only if they meet the principles identified above and if the funded activities do not directly or indirectly promote religious doctrine, mission or proselytism related to the beliefs of a particular faith.

Eligible partner(s) must meet one of the following requirements:

- be a public or private entity, commercial or non-commercial, as well as NGO established as a legal person in Iceland, Liechtenshtein or Norway, or in a Beneficiary State, or in a country outside the EEA that has a common border with Lithuania;
- > be an international organization or body or agency thereof;
- > be an informal, ad hoc or self-help organization that is not registered legal entity in Lithuania. Such organizations must however meet the requirements that they are not for personal profit, act for public good, are voluntary and non-discriminatory in nature, independent of local, regional and national government and other public authorities, and are independent of political parties, religious institutions and commercial organisations. An informal group shall be represented by one single person, who signs the project Partnership Agreement on behalf of the group. An informal group cannot not be a direct recipient of the project grant.

Partnerships are encouraged, but not obligatory. All Partners must share a common goal and actively contribute towards achieving the aim(s) of the project. Partnerships must be based on the qualitative, meaningful and timely contribution of all partners involved in the project (recommended partners' share in the project - 20% - 50% of the project's activities and budget).

Each applicant can submit only one project proposal for the calls across outcomes 1-5. Same organization can participate in maximum two projects in a partner role (calls across outcomes 1-5).

All eligible applicants, partners and project proposals shall follow the principles of common values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law, and the respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities.⁴

For further information regarding Eligible Applicants and Partners, please consult the **Guidelines for Applicants.**

3. FINANCIAL PROVISIONS

Indicative budget of the call

The indicative budget for the Outcome 4 "Vulnerable groups empowered" large, medium and small projects within this call amounts to €1,575,000.

Outcome	Indicative budget for small projects in €	Indicative budget for medium projects in €	Indicative budget for large projects in €
Vulnerable groups empovered	70,000	385,000	1,120,000

⁴ Article 1.2 of Protocol 38c on the EEA and Norwegian Financial Mechanisms (2014-2021)

Grant size and projects' length

According to the grant size and project duration, there are three types of the projects.

Type of project	Min duration	Max duration	Min grant size	Max grant size
Small projects	6 months	18 months	€5,000	€15,000
Medium projects	6 months	18 months	€15,001	€80,000
Large projects	24 months	36 months	€80,001	€150,000

Project grant rate

The ACF program can provide funding of up to 90% of the eligible costs of the project budget. The co-financing shall be provided in the form of cash or in-kind contribution in the form of voluntary work. The in-kind contribution in the form of voluntary work may constitute up to 50% of the co-financing required for the project (5% of the total project budget). The calculation of the in-kind contribution in the form of voluntary work is explained in the **Guidelines for Applicants.**

Indirect costs

Project promoters and project partners may apply a flat rate up to 15 % of direct eligible staff costs of the project to cover necessary costs related to the realization of the project which are not directly linked to any activity of the project.

Eligible expenditure


All the budget items must be linked to project activities and comply with eligibility criteria, they have to be necessary and paid within the project period specified in the project contract. All expenditures have to be controllable and proportionate. The eligible expenditures include staff costs, travel costs, costs of services or equipment necessary for project implementation. Detailed list of eligible expenditures and conditions for their eligibility, as well as non-eligible costs are specified in the **Guidelines for Applicants**.

Payments to the projects

The submission of narrative and financial reports is a mandatory condition for the execution of the payments. The number of project reports due by the project promoters depends on the project grant size and duration. Reports have to be approved by the Fund Operator before payments are made. Payments of the project grant shall take the form of advance payments, interim payments and a final payment.

For further information regarding Financial provisions, please consult the **Guidelines for Applicants.**


4. SUMBISSION OF APPLICATION AND SELECTION PROCESS



→ 8 — Active citizens fund

4.1. CONCEPT NOTE (1ST PHASE)

Complete application of the concept note consists of:



A scan of signed and stamped (where applicable) Applicant declaration (pdf); A scan of signed and stamped (where applicable) Partner declaration (pdf).



The Fund Operator reserves the right to request additional information or documents during the whole assessment and evaluation process, if necessary.

The application shall be submitted only in the electronic form to the e-mail address projektai@apf.lt no later than **28th February 2020, 23:59 Lithuanian time.**

Date and time of the arrival of the e-mail with a completed application and all necessary attachments will be considered based on the records of the Fund Operator's server, regardless of the date and time of when it was sent and regardless of the date and time of sending recorded by the sending server. Fund Operator assumes no responsibility for any delays in the delivery of application email, therefore, applicants are recommended to not delay the submission of their applications until the last day. Applications submitted in any other form or way (for example, via fax, regular mail, etc.) or to any other e-mail, shall be rejected.

Concept note's administrative and eligibility check

delivery) where same organization is in the role of partner.

After the concept note is received, Fund Operator will carry out an initial administrative check of its eligibility based on the information submitted in the application form and Applicant's declaration on the compliance with the administrative and eligibility criteria.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA YES/NO The following criteria are elimination criteria, meaning an automatic elimination of the application from further evaluation if it does not comply with even one of these criteria: Application is submitted as instructed (filled in in Lithuanian language, submitted at the designated email, submitted signed and scaned copy). Application is submitted within the deadline. Organization is not in situation of not paid amounts due, following a final court decision in connection with the NGO Programme 2009-2014 in Lithuania. Project duration is between 6 and 18 months for small and medium projects and between 24 and 36 months for large projects. Grant amount corresponds to determined frames of €5,000 and €15,000 for small projects, €15,001 and €80,000 for medium projects, €80.001 and €150,000 for large projects. This concept note is the first application (according to the date and time of delivery) submitted by the same Applicant. This concept note is one of the first two delivered applications (according to the date and time of

→ 9 — Active citizens fund

If needed, the applicant will be asked to provide missing information for the following criteria:

All required annexes and documents are submited with the application form in the formats indicated by the Fund Operator.

Application is signed by the head of NGO or other authorised person (in this case, letter of authorisation is enclosed).

Applicant declaration, signed and stamped (where applicable), is submitted.

Partner declaration (for each partner), signed and stamped (where applicable), is submitted.

In case the application is lacking certain documents or information that can be supplemented according to the above criteria, applicants shall be asked to provide respective missing parts within three (3) working days. If the application does not meet one of the criteria, it will be rejected as ineligible.

After the administrative and eligibility checks are completed, ineligible applicants will be notified of the rejection of their concept notes from further evaluation. Applicants of ineligible project proposals will have five working days available for appeal against the eligibility decision. Appeals will be considered by the Appeals Committee. The decision of the Committee is final. Appeals can be submitted at info@apf.lt.

Evaluation of the concept note

Two impartial evaluators will evaluate concept notes passing the administrative and eligibility check. After the evaluation of all concept notes is concluded, ranking lists of applications for each type of the project (small, medium, large) will be prepared by the Fund Operator, based on received scoring. Proposed ranking lists will be examined by the Selection Committee. The Selection Committee will make recommendations to the Fund Operator which concept notes shall enter the second phase of the application process. In justified cases, based on transparent criteria, the Selection Committee may modify the ranking of the project applications. The Fund Operator shall, based on the recommendation of the Selection Committee, make a final decision which concept notes shall be further developed.

→ 10 — Active citizens fund

Project concept evaluation criteria (max. total points - 100)

Criteria	Description of criteria	Score
Justification of problem and its relevance for the target group* *eliminating criterion: If 0, the project is automatically rejected	To what extent are the target groups and their needs defined? To what extent is the problem addressed relevant to the target group?	20
Relevance of Project objectives and proposed solution for the problem addressed* *eliminating criterion: If 0, the project is automatically rejected	To what extent are defined Project objectives considered when addressing the problem and needs of the target group? Is the proposed solution the most efficient / relevant in terms of achieving the expected changes?	20
Relevance of the Project concept for the overall objectives and expected outcomes of the ACF program* *eliminating criterion: If 0, the project is automatically rejected	To what extent does the Project contribute to overall objectives and expected outcomes of the ACF program?	15
Adequacy and feasibility of proposed activities in terms of expected outcomes	To what extent are the Project activities adequate and feasible and to what extent does the attainment of the Project objectives and expected outcomes depend on them?	15
Capacities of the Applicant and Partners required to solve the problem addressed	To what extent is the Project applicant's (and Partner's) team capable of delivering optimal solutions to problems?	10
Thematic priorities	 Does the Project include at least one of the following priority aspects? Outreach to under-served areas Outreach to under-served target groups, in particularly, victims of GBV, etc. Promote inter-cultural integration with special emphasis on national minorities Promote youth inclusion and youth participation 	Yes – 3, No - 0
Bilateral partnership priority	Does the Project include a partnership with Donor states?	Yes – 4, No - 0
Regional priorities	Does the Project include partnerships with organizations registered and operating in Lithuanian regions other than Vilnius city or is the Applicant a non-governmental organization registered and operating in a region other than Vilnius city?	Yes – 3, No - 0
Financial efficiency	To what extent is the proposed Project concept cost-efficient (value for money)? To what extent is the value of the Project in line with the Project objectives set (is it possible to achieve the Project objectives within the estimated budget?)	5
Capacity building of the organization(s)	To what extent are the aims of the organization in the field of organizational development and capacity building clearly defined and justified?	5

ightarrow 11 — Active citizens fund

Notification on selection results

Applicants will be notified on the selection via e-mail indicated in the application form in April 2020, not later than five (5) working days after the final decision is made by the Fund Operator. The results of the selection shall also be announced at the Program's website.

4.2. FULL PROJECT PROPOSAL (2nd PHASE)

Deadline and submission process for the second phase

Applicants invited to submit full project proposals in the 2nd phase shall complete the full project proposal form based on the concept note submitted in the 1st phase.

Full project proposal consists of:

A scan of completed application form for the full project proposal (pdf), followed by the word version of the full project proposal (doc or docx);

Complete form for project budget (xls or xlsx);

A scan of signed and stamped (where applicable) Applicant declaration (pdf); A scan of signed and stamped (where applicable) Partner declaration (pdf).

The Fund Operator reserves the right to request additional information or documents during the whole assessment and evaluation process, if necessary.

Application shall be submitted only in electronical form at the following e-mail address projektai@apf.lt. The deadline for submission of full project applications shall be set at least two months from the announcement of the shortlisted concept notes.

Date and time of the arrival of the e-mail with a completed application and all necessary attachments will be considered based on the records of the Fund Operator's server, regardless of the date and time of when it was sent and regardless of the date and time of sending recorded by the sending server. Fund Operator assumes no responsibility for any delays in the delivery of application email, therefore, applicants are recommended to not delay the submission of their applications until the last day. Applications submitted in any other form or way (for example, via fax, regular mail, etc.) or to any other e-mail, shall be rejected.

Full project proposal assessment procedure and criteria

ADMINISTRATIVE AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

YES/NO

The following criteria are elimination criteria, meaning an automatic elimination of the application from further evaluation if it does not comply with one of these criteria:

Submitted project proposal was selected at the first phase of the application process for further development.

The outcome indicated in the Application is the same as in the concept note.

Application is submitted as instructed (filled in in Lithuanian language, submitted at the designated email, submitted signed and scaned copy).

Application is submitted within the deadline.

Project duration is between 6 and 18 months for small and medium projects and between 24 and 36 months for large projects.

→ 12 — Active citizens fund

Grant amount corresponds to determined frames of €5,000 and €15,000 for small projects, €15,001 and €80,000 for medium projects, €80.001 and €150,000 for large projects.

This concept note is one of the first two delivered applications (according to the date and time of delivery) where the same organization is in the role of partner.

Applicant complies with the Applicant eligibility criteria as specified in the Guidelines for Applicants.

Applicant is a legal entity that has been registered in Lithuania for at least one year.

Partner(s) complies with the Applicant eligibility criteria as specified in the Guidelines for Applicants.

If needed, the applicant will be asked to provide missing information for the following criteria:

All required annexes and documents are submited with the application form in the formats indicated by the Fund Operator.

Application is signed by the head of NGO or other authorised person (in this case, letter of authorisation is enclosed).

Applicant declaration, signed and stamped (where applicable), is submitted.

Partner declaration (for each partner), signed and stamped (where aplicable), is submitted.

In case the application is lacking certain documents or information that can be supplemented according to the above criteria, applicants shall be asked to provide respective missing parts within three (3) working days. If the application does not meet one of the criteria, it will be rejected as ineligible.

After the administrative eligibility assessment process is completed, ineligible applicants will be notified of the rejection of their project proposals from further evaluation. Applicants of ineligible project proposals will have five (5) working days available to appeal against the eligibility decision. Appeals will be considered by the Appeals Committee. The decision of the Committee is final. The appeal can be submitted at info@apf.lt.

Evaluation of full project proposal

All eligible and administratively compliant project proposals will be evaluated by two impartial evaluators in accordance with the criteria set below.

After the evaluation of all project proposals is concluded, ranking lists of applications for each type of the project (small, medium, large) will be prepared by the Fund Operator, based on received scoring. Proposed ranking lists will be examined by the Selection Committee. The Selection Committee shall make recommendations to the Fund Operator on project proposals to be funded. In justified cases, based on transparent criteria, the Selection Committee may modify the ranking of the project applications. The final decision is made by the Fund Operator.

→ 13 — Active citizens fund

Full project proposal evaluation criteria (max. points: 100)

1. Relevance and importance of the project. 1. Coherence of the project with the objectives,		Criteria	Description of criteria	Score
astent does the project contribute to the outputs of the AEF program It also addressed problem It is the addressed problem It is the addressed problem It is a the addressed problem	1.	Relevance and importance of the project	Eliminating criterion: If less than 10, the project is automatically rejected	25
to the solution of defined project of the target group, project promoter and wider community/society? 2. Quality of the project implementation Eliminating criterion: If less than 10, the project is automatically rejected 2. Solution of the project insplantation of the project is submatically rejected 2. Coherence between objectives, activities, results of the project promoter and wider community/society? 2. Feasibility of proposed activities 2. Feasibility of proposed activities 3. To what extent ore activities planned logical and feasible and lead to realisation of project outputs? Is project feasible within planned timefrance? 3. Value for money 3. Value for money 3. Value for money 3. Adequacy of broject results 4. Quality of communication measures 5. To what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective activities and outputs? 5. Value for money 3. Adequacy of budget with proposed activities 5. To what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective activities and outputs? 5. Capacity building and sustainability of project outputs after conclusion of the project. 5. Capacity building and sustainability of project outputs after conclusion of the project. 5. Capacity of the project promoters 5. Capacity of the project promoters 5. Capacity of the project promoters 5. Capacity of the project conclusion of the project. 5. Capacity of the project conclusion of the project. 5. Capacity of the project promoters 5. Capacity of the project termination of the project. 5. Capacity of the project termination of the project. 5. Capacity of the project termination of the project. 5. Capacity of the project termination of the project. 6. Quality of the par	1.1	Coherence of the project with the objectives, outcomes and outputs of the ACF program	To what extent does the project contribute to objectives and expected outcomes of the ACF program? To what extent does the project contribute to the outputs of the ACF program?	10
2. Quality of the project implementation 2. Cherence between objectives, activities, results of the project (solid internal logic.) 2. Feasibility of proposed activities 2. Feasibility of proposed activities 2. Feasibility of proposed activities 2. To what extent are activities planned logical and feasible and lead to realisation of project outputs? Is project feasible within planned timefamed. 2. Adequacy of project results 2. Adequacy of project results 2. To what extent are planned forget values of indicators adequate and realistic? 3. Value for money 3. Value for money 3. Adequacy of budget with proposed activities 3. Value for money 3. Adequacy of budget with proposed activities 3. Part of the project of such activities and outputs? 4. Capacity building and sustainability 5. Capacity building and sustainability of project outcomes and usability of six already planned how the outputs and outputs after conclusion of the project? 3. Cherence of capacity needs with capacity building activities planned for common deviation with a conclusion of the project? 3. Statically planned how the outputs and outputs? 3. It is already planned how the outputs and outputs after conclusion of the project? 4. Capacity of the project prometers 4. Capacity of the project prometers 5. To what extent are the needs of applicant organization in the field of copacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 5. Capacity of the project prometers 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5. Owhat extent or	1.2		To what extent are the target groups and their needs/problem defined? To what extent does the project contribute to the solution of defined problem/ addressing the target group's need?	10
2.1 of the project (solid internal logic) 2.2 Feasibility of proposed activities 3.3 Adequacy of project results 3.4 Adequacy of project results 5.5 To what extent are planned target values of indicators adequate and realistic? 5.6 Quality of communication measures 5.7 To what extent are planned target values of indicators adequate and realistic? 5.8 Adequacy of project results 5.9 To what extent are planned target values of indicators adequate and realistic? 5.0 Quality of communication measures 5.0 To what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective mentionies and disputs? 5.0 What extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective mentionies and disputs? 5.0 What extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective mentionies and disputs? 5.0 What extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective mentionies and disputs? 5.1 On what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective mentionies and disputs? 5.1 On what extent does cost justification make evident which the cost entails and at what price? To what price? To what price? To what price? To what price implementation? 5.2 Capacity building and sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project conclusion of the project. 5.3 Sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project with the cost in the project outcomes and usability of project conclusion of the project. 5.4 Coherence of capacity needs with capacity building activities and an object to conclusion of the project. 5. Charactity of the project promoters 5. Capacity of the project promoters 6. Quality of th	1.3	Long-term impact of the project for the target group, project promoter and wider community/society		5
of the project (sold internal logic) of the project (sold internal logic) for what extent are activities planned longical and feasible and lead to realisation of project outputs? Is project feasibility of proposed activities To what extent are activities planned timefrome? Adequacy of project results To what extent are proposed outs communication adequate? 5 3. Value for money 3.1 Adequacy of budget with proposed activities To what extent are proposed outs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective activities and outputs? To what extent are proposed outputs and outputs? Adequacy of budget with proposed activities To what extent does cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations beased on realistic assumptions? To what extent one indicated costs needed for project implementation? 4. Capacity building and sustainability To what extent does cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations beased on realistic assumptions? To what extent one indicated costs needed for project implementation? 1.5 Capacity building and sustainability To what extent is made evident which activities and in what way are going to be continued after the project conclusion? In what extent is made evident which notivities and in what way are going to be continued after the project conclusion? 1.5 Capacity building and sustainability To what extent is made evident which notivities and in what way are going to be continued after the project conclusion? 1.5 Capacity project promoters 1.6 Capacity project promoters 1.7 Capacity project promoters 1.8 Capacity project promoters 1.9 C	2.	Quality of the project implementation	Eliminating criterion: If less than 10, the project is automatically rejected	25
teasibility of project results 7. What extent are planned target values of indicators adequate and realistic? 5. Quality of communication measures 7. To what extent are measures planned for comunication adequate? 5. Adequacy of budget with proposed activities 7. To what extent are measures planned for comunication adequate? 7. To what extent are measures planned for comunication adequate? 7. To what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective activities and outputs? 7. To what extent does cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations based on realistic assumptions? To what extent are indicated costs needed for project implementation? 7. To what extent is made evident which activities and in what way are going to be continued after the project conclusion? 8. It is directly building and sustainability 7. To what extent is made evident which activities and in what way are going to be continued after the project conclusion? 8. It is directly project outcomes and usability of project outcomes and usability of project outcomes and usability of project outcouts after conclusion of the project. 7. Chapacity of the project promoters 8. It is directly planned how the outputs and outcomes of the project will continue of the tronclusion of the project. 8. Capacity of the project promoters 8. Experience of the project promoters 8. Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project. 8. Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project. 9. Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation. 9. To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project? 10. What extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the project? 11. Thematic and regional priorities 12. Quality of the pa	2.1			10
2.4 Quality of communication measures To what extent are measures planned for comunication adequote? 3. Value for money 3.1 Adequacy of budget with proposed activities To what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective activities and outputs? To what extent does cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations based on redisisc assumptions? To what extent are indicated costs needed for project implementation? 4. Capacity building and sustainability 5. Capacity project outcomes and usability of project outputs after conclusion of the project To what extent is made evident which activities and in what way are gaing to be continued after the project conclusion? Is it already planned how the outputs and outcomes of the project will continue after the conclusion of the project? To what extent are the needs of applicant angolization in the field of capacity building defined? To what extent will the project contribute to capacity building meeds of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project of the project of the project contribute to capacity building in line with the project? 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project. To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project? 5. Capacity of the project implementation To what extent are proposed contributed to capacity building in line with the area of the project in planned and acquate? To what extent are proposed team (key personnel) relevant for the project? 5. Capacity of the project implementation To what extent are proposed in implementation of the project? 5. Capacity of	2.2	Feasibility of proposed activities		5
3. Value for money 3.1 Adequacy of budget with proposed activities 3.2 Reasonable and justifiable budget 5. To what extent are proposed costs compliant with action plan? Are costs presented sufficiently enough for realization of respective activities and outputs? 5. Capacity building and sustainability 6. Capacity building and sustainability 7. To what extent does cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations based on realistic assumptions? To what extent are indicated costs needed for project implementation? 5. Sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project outputs after conclusion of the project or sit already planned how the outputs and outcomes of the project will continue of the rhe project conclusion? In the field of capacity needs with capacity building activities planned activities planned activities planned are repaired to capacity building needs of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 5. Capacity of the project promoters 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project. 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project. 6. Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation project. To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project? 5. Sandard organizational and financial capacities of applicant project. To what extent are experienced partners) and partner organizations for project implementation of the project in what extent are project implementation in its is plantle from cial and organizational capacities for implementation of the project? 6. Quality of the partnership 6. Quality of the partnership 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic and regional priorities 8. If project is in line with the least one of priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.	2.3	Adequacy of project results	To what extent are planned target values of indicators adequate and realistic?	5
3.1 Adequacy of budget with proposed activities 3.2 Reasonable and justifiable budget 3.2 Reasonable and justifiable budget 3.3 To what extent des cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations based on realistic assumptions? To what extent are indicated costs needed for project implementation? 3.4 Capacity building and sustainability 4.1 Sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project outcomes and usabil	2.4	Quality of communication measures	To what extent are measures planned for comunication adequate?	5
of respective activities and outputs? 3.2 Reasonable and justifiable budget To what extent does cost justification make evident what the cost entails and at what price? To what extent are cost estimations based on realistic assumptions? To what extent are indicated costs needed for project implementation? 5. Capacity building and sustainability of project outputs after conclusion of the project 6. Coherence of capacity needs with capacity building activities planned 7. To what extent is made evident which activities and in what way are going to be continued after the project conclusion? Is it already planned how the outputs and outcomes of the project will continue after the conclusion of the project? 7. Coherence of capacity needs with capacity building and evident building needs of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 8. Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 8. To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project? 8. Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation 8. Sisk management 8. To what extent does applicant (and partner) demonstrate financial and organizational capacities for implementation of the project? To what extent are responsibilities and adequate? 8. Quality of the partnership 8. To what extent are responsibilities and tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities and tracks in the project is nare placed in the project budget? 8. Thematic and regional priorities 8. If project is in line with at least one of priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 - 3 8. If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 - 5 8. If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 - 3 8. If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 - 3 8. Ones the project involve	3.	Value for money		10
4. Capacity building and sustainability 4.1 Sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project outcomes of the project will continue after the conclusion of the project. 4.2 Coherence of capacity needs with capacity building are the needs of applicant organization in the field of capacity building defined? To what extent will the project contribute to capacity building needs of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5. Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5. Disputational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation 6. Variance of the project implementation 6. Quality of the partnership 6. Quality of the partnership 7. Thematic and regional priorities 8. To what extent are responsibilities and tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities of the tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners reflected in the project to share the share of tasks and responsibilities of the tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities of the tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners reflected in the project budget? 7. Thematic prioriti	3.1	Adequacy of budget with proposed activities		5
4.1 Sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project outcomes and usability of project outputs after conclusion of the project started and project outputs after conclusion of the project of the project of the project outputs after conclusion of the project? 4.2 Coherence of capacity needs with capacity building activities planned activities planned activities planned or capacity building activities planned activities planned activities planned or capacity building needs of organization in the field of capacity building defined? To what extent will the project contribute to capacity building needs of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 5. Capacity of the project promoters 5. Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project? 5. Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizational and financial capacities of applicant project? To what extent does applicant (and partner) demonstrate financial and organizational project for implementation of the project? To what extent are project implementation risks identified and adequate? 5. Quality of the partnership 6. Quality of the partnership 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic priorities 7. Thematic priorities 7. Does the project is largeted to young people or involve partnership with youth NGO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? 8. Opes the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project involve partnership with the inimorities NGO(s)? or Does the project involve partnership with the minorities NGO(s)? or Does the project involve partnership with the minorities NGO(s)? or Does the project involve partnership with the minorities NGO(s)? or Does the project involve partnership with the minorities NGO(s)? or Does the project involve partnership with the minorities NGO	3.2	Reasonable and justifiable budget		5
project outputs after conclusion of the project 8. It already planned how the outputs and outcomes of the project will continue after the conclusion of the project? 7. What extent are the needs of applicant organization in the field of capacity building activities planned 8. Capacity of the project promoters 8. Capacity of the project promoters 8. Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 8. To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project? 8. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 8. To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project? 8. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 8. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 9. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 9. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 9. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 9. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 9. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project of the project implementation of the project? 9. Capacity of the partners of the project implementation and partners of team (key personnel) relevant for the project? 9. Capacity of the project implementation and partners of the project in project in project in partners of team (key personnel) relevant for the project? 9. Capacity of the partnership 9. Capacity of the project in plementation of the project in partnership with at least one of project in partnership with partnership with partnership with youth NCO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? 9. Capacity of the partnership with ethic minorities NGO(s)? or Does the project involve partnership with ethic minorities NGO(s)? or Does t	4.	Capacity building and sustainability		15
4.2 activities planned the project contribute to capacity building needs of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the requirements of the ACF program implementation? 5. Capacity of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5.1 Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5.2 Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation 5.3 Risk management 6. Quality of the partnership 6. Quality of the partnership 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic priorities 7. Does the project is in line with a least one of priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 - 5 If project is in line with project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project innolve partnership with ethaic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project in to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	4.1	Sustainability of project outcomes and usability of project outputs after conclusion of the project	Is it already planned how the outputs and outcomes of the project will continue after the conclusion of the project?	5
5.1 Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project 5.2 Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation 5.2 Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation 5.3 Risk management 5.4 To what extent are project implementation risks identified and adequately technically equipped/skilled for implementation of the project? 5.3 Risk management 6. Quality of the partnership 6. Quality of the partnership 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic and regional priorities 7. Thematic priorities 7. Thematic priorities 7. Thematic priorities 7. Does the project is targeted to young people or involve partnership with youth NGO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? 7. Thematic priorities 7. Does the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	4.2	Coherence of capacity needs with capacity building activities planned	the project contribute to capacity building needs of organization? Are the resources planned for capacity building in line with the	10
partners) in relation to the project Organizational and financial capacities of applicant and partner organizations for project implementation To what extent does applicant (and partner) demonstrate financial and organizational capacities for implementation of the project? To what extent is applicant (and partner) adequately technically equipped/skilled for implementation of the project? To what extent are project implementation risks identified and adequate? To what extent are project implementation risks identified and adequate? To what extent are responsibilities and tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities between the applicant and partners reflected in the project budget? Thematic and regional priorities If project is in line with at least one of priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 - 3 If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 - 5 If project doesn't reflect any of priority aspects - 0 Oes the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	5.	Capacity of the project promoters		15
and partner organizations for project implementation project? To what extent is applicant (and partner) adequately technically equipped/skilled for implementation of the project? To what extent are project implementation risks identified and adequate? To what extent are measures for identified risk mitigation or elimination adequate? To what extent are responsibilities and tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities between the applicant and partners reflected in the project budget? Thematic and regional priorities If project is in line with at least one of priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 - 3 If project doesn't reflect any of priority aspects - 0 Does the project involve partnership with youth NGO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? Does the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	5.1	Experience of the project team (applicants and partners) in relation to the project	To what extent are experiences of the project team (key personnel) relevant for the proposed project?	5
To what extent are measures for identified risk mitigation or elimination adequate? To what extent are responsibilities and tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities between the applicant and partners reflected in the project budget? Thematic and regional priorities If project is in line with at least one of priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 – 3 If project doesn't reflect any of priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 – 5 If project doesn't reflect any of priority aspects - 0 Does the project is targeted to young people or involve partnership with youth NGO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? O-3-5 or Does the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	5.2			5
extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities between the applicant and partners reflected in the project budget? 7. Thematic and regional priorities If project is in line with at least one of priority aspects in 7.1 OR 7.2 – 3 If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 – 5 If project doesn't reflect any of priority aspects - 0 Does the project is targeted to young people or involve partnership with youth NGO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? or Does the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	5.3	Risk management	To what extent are project implementation risks identified and adequate? To what extent are measures for identified risk mitigation or elimination adequate?	5
If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 – 5 If project doesn't reflect any of priority aspects - 0 7.1 Thematic priorities Does the project is targeted to young people or involve partnership with youth NGO(s) in case if project is targeted at youth? or Does the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	6.	Quality of the partnership	To what extent are responsibilities and tasks in the project shared between the applicant and partners? To what extent are the share of tasks and responsibilities between the applicant and partners reflected in the project budget?	5
or Does the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or Does the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities' NGO(s)? or Does the project aim to address needs/empower victims of gender-based violence?	7.	Thematic and regional priorities	If project is in line with priority aspects in 7.1 AND 7.2 – 5	5
7.2 Regional priority Does the Applicant is an NGO that is registered and operating in the areas other than Vilnius city?	7.1	Thematic priorities	or Does the project involve target groups from the regions other than Vilnius city? or one of the project involve partnership with ethnic minorities 'NGO(s)? or	0-3-5
	7.2	Regional priority	Does the Applicant is an NGO that is registered and operating in the areas other than Vilnius city?	

Notification on selection results


Applicants will be notified on the selection of their project proposals via e-mail indicated in application form not later than five (5) working days after the final decision is made by the Fund Operator. The results of the selection shall also be announced at the Program's website.

5. SUPPORT FOR THE APPLICANTS

Applicants will be offered a wide range of support activities and services at their disposal:

- **5 information workshops** for potential applicants will be organized in January 2020 in 5 cities of Lithuania (Vilnius, Kaunas, Klaipėda, Panevėžys, and Šiauliai).
- **5 practical workshops** for the applicants from small local organizations will be organised in January 2020 in 5 cities of Lithuania (Vilnius, Kaunas, Klaipėda, Panevėžys, and Šiauliai).
- **5 working seminars** for applicants of selected concept notes for small projects will be organized in April 2020.
- **Counseling via phone or e-mail** will be provided during the office hours (9:00 17:00 Monday Friday).
- > Answers to the applicants questions will be published weekly on the ACF in Lithuania website https://apf.lt (F.A.Q. section).

Information about the support events planned, registration details shall be announced on the ACF in Lithuania website https://apf.lt and FB public group "NVO džiunglės".









With brief questions, applicants can contact Fund Operator by tel. +370 5 2685511 or e-mail info@apf.lt

ightarrow 15 — Active citizens fund